arXiv:astro-ph/9704187v2 25 Apr 1997

The Afterglow of GRBs

Arnon Dar
Department of Physics
Technion-Israel Institute of Technology
Haifa 32000, Israel

February 1, 2008

Abstract

Models where relativistic jets from merger or accretion induced collapse in compact binary systems produce cosmological gamma ray bursts (GRBs) also predict that GRBs are accompanied by delayed emission of high energy photons, TeV neutrinos, X-rays, optical photons and radio waves. Their emission mechanisms are similar to those responsible for their emissions by blazars during gamma ray flares. The recently observed afterglow of GRB 970228 in X-rays and optical photons is well accounted by such models. In particular, the predicted power-law spectrum, time delay which is inversely proportional to frequency and a power-law decay with time which is energy independent, are all in excellent agreement with the observations.

Introduction

The origin of gamma ray bursts (GRBs), which were discovered more than 25 years ago, has been a complete mystery (e.g., Fishman and Meegan 1995). Their observed isotropy in the sky, deficiency of faint bursts and the lack of concentrations towards the Galactic center, in the Galactic disk and in the direction of M31 strongly suggest (e.g., Briggs 1995) that they are cosmological in origin (Prilutski and Usov 1975; Usov and Chibisov 1975; van den Bergh 1983; Paczynski 1986, Goodman 1986; Goodman, Dar and Nussinov, 1987; Eichler et al. 1989) but no conclusive evidence for that was available.

However, this have been changing dramaticly since February 28. On Feb. 28.458 UT, eight hours after the gamma ray detectors aboard the Compton Gamma Ray Observatory, Ulysses space craft, Wind spacecraft and BeppoSAX satellite have detected the gamma ray burst GRB 970228 within a narrow error box (Costa et al. 1977a; Palmer et al. 1997; Hurley et al. 1997), the BeppoSAX satellite detected in the $0.5 - 10\ keV$ energy range an X-ray counterpart, i.e., a fading X-ray source at the same position which was not known before (Costa et al. 1997b). The field was observed again on March 3.734 by BeppoSAX and on March 7.028 -7.486 by ASCA in the $2 - 10\ keV$ range (Yoshida et al. 1997) and the source was detected at the same position at a flux level lower by a factor $\sim$ 20 and $\sim$ 35, respectively. An optical fading remnant was also detected 21 hours after the detection of the GRB (Groot et al. 1997) with magnitudes $m_V = 21.3$ and $m_I = 20.6$ in the V and I bands, respectively. The fading optical GRB remnant was acquired by the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) on March 26 which resolved it into a point-like source at 25.7 magnitude (Shau et al. 1997a) and an extended object (a faint galaxy ?). It was detected again by HST on April 7 with $m_V = 26.0 \pm 0.3$ and $m_I = 24.6 \pm 0.3$ (Shau et al. 1997b). In the R band, where the relative contribution of the faint galaxy is expected to be larger, the remnant was detected with a slower decline, i.e., with $m_R \sim 24$ between March 6.32 -13.0, by Keck II, INT, Palomar 5m and ESO NTT, and with $m_R \approx 24.9 \pm 0.3$ around April 6 by Keck II (e.g., Metzger et al. 1997). The identification of

the site of GRB 970228 with a very faint galaxy places it at a cosmological distance (although no absorption features that could allow redshift determination have been reported). Cosmological distances ($D \sim 10^{28} cm$) imply that GRBs have enormous energies, $E \sim 10^{51-52} \Delta\Omega\ erg$, during short periods of time, where $\Delta\Omega$ is the solid angle into which their emission is beamed. This enormous energy-release over a short time suggests that merger or accretion induced collapse (AIC) of compact objects (neutron stars and black holes) in close binary systems produce cosmological GRBs (Blinnikov et al. 1984; Goodman et al. 1987; Eichler et al. 1989). Relativistic beaming is required then in order to avoid self absorption through $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow e^+e^-$. Relativistic fireballs (Cavallo and Rees 1978, Paczynski 1986; Goodman 1986) or relativistic jets (e.g., Shaviv and Dar 1995a, 19996) therefore were invoked in order to explain GRBs. Observations and theoretical considerations favor relativistic jets as their drivers. Observations seem to indicate that all systems which are powered by mass accretion onto a compact object release a large fraction of their accretion power in kinetic energy of highly relativistic jets. These relativistic jets are observed to produce very efficiently photons whose energies extend from radio waves all the way up to TeV γ-rays. The required energy release is more moderate in the jet geometry. Therefore, Shaviv and Dar (1995, 1996) developed a model for cosmological GRBs where highly relativistic hadronic jets in dense stellar regions upscatter stellar light into gamma rays.

Hadronic collisions of the relativistic jet particles with gas targets (stellar wind, bloated stellar atmospheres, molecular cloud) can produce high energy gamma rays, high energy neutrinos, and high energy electrons and positrons. It was suggested that these high energy electrons and positrons produce, through synchrotron emission and inverse Compton scattering, afterglows in X-rays and optical photons of γ-ray flares from blazars (Dar and Laor 1997) and of GRBs (Shaviv and Dar, 1996; Dar 1997). In this letter we show that emissions from a jet can account very well for the observed afterglow of GRB 970228 in X-rays and optical photons.

GRBs From Accretion Jets

The formation, of highly relativistic jets are not well understood. However, the final accretion rates and the magnetic fields which are involved in mergers/AIC of compact stellar objects are much larger than those encountered in active galactic nuclei (AGN), microquasars and high mass compact X-ray binaries. Therefore, it is very likely that highly relativistic jets are also produced in mergers/AIC of compact stellar objects. In view of the uncertainties in modeling mass ejection driven by merger/AIC, rather Shaviv and Dar (1996) assumed that NS/AIC produce jets with typical Lorentz factors, $\Gamma \sim 10^3$, which are required by GRBs, beaming angles $\Delta\Omega > \pi/\Gamma^2$ similar to those observed/estimated for AGN and microquasars, and ejected mass $\Delta M \sim (dM/d\Omega)\Delta\Omega \leq 10^{-3} M_\odot$ (because the released kinetic energy is bounded by $E_K = \Gamma \Delta M c^2 < M_\odot c^2$). The natural birth places of close binary systems are dense stellar regions (DSR) such as galactic cores, starburst regions and super star-clusters. These DSRs are rich in gas and in very luminous stars which emit very strong stellar winds (e.g., Tamura 1996). They have rather large photon and gas column densities, N_γ and N_p, respectively, larger than $10^{23} cm^{-2}$. Resonance upscattering of interstellar photons in such DSR can explain the fluence, duration complex light curves and spectral evolution of GRBs, provided that the particles in the accretion jets are injected with approximately a simple power-law distribution of Lorentz factors, $dn/d\Gamma \sim \Gamma^{-\alpha}$ with $2 \leq \alpha \leq 3$, above a minimum value $\Gamma_m \sim 300$ (Shaviv 1996; Shaviv and Dar 1996).

In fact, for a free ballistic expansion the ejected jet is optically thick to interstellar photons if $\Delta M > m_A R^2 \Delta\Omega/\sigma \approx 10^{-4} M_\odot \Delta\Omega$, where $R \sim 5 \times 10^{17} cm$ is the typical size of DSR and $\sigma \sim 10^{-18} cm^2$ is the typical resonance scattering cross section of optical photons from typical mergers/AIC hadronic jets. Therefore, the ejected jet acts as a highly efficient relativistic mirror which upscatters stellar photons along its trajectory into beamed γ-rays. The typical photon fluence and energy of GRBs produced by merger/AIC in DSR are

$$F_\gamma \approx N_\gamma R^2/D^2 \sim 10^{1\pm1}\ cm^{-2}, \tag{1}$$

$$E_\gamma \approx \sigma N_\gamma (\Gamma\epsilon/m_A c^2) E_K \sim 10^{51\pm1} \Delta\Omega\ erg. \tag{2}$$

High Energy Gamma Rays

The observed emissions of GeV γ-rays (e.g, Thompson et al. 1995) and TeV γ-rays (e.g., Kerrick et al. 1995; Gaidos et al. 1996) from blazars are usually interpreted as produced by inverse Compton scattering of highly relativistic electrons in their jets on soft photons, internal or external to the jet (Dermer and Schlickeiser 1993, 1994; Blandford and Levinson 1994). However, the kinetic energy of relativistic jets that are made of normal (hadronic) matter resides mainly in protons and nuclei. Therefore, the above mechanisms seem unable to convert enough kinetic energy of hadronic jets into high energy photons and explain the observed large fluxes of GeV and TeV γ-rays. Hadronic jets, however, can convert a significant fraction of their kinetic energy into GeV-TeV γ-rays through $pp \to \pi^0 X$; $\pi^0 \to 2\gamma$ in collisions with gas targets of high column density along the line of sight, which are present near accreting massive black holes (Dar and Laor 1997). Gas targets with high column densities (stellar winds, bloated atmospheres) can also be present near accreting stellar compact objects or in star-burst regions and galactic cores where NS merge/AIC are expected to be highly enhanced. They may convert a significant fraction of the jet kinetic energy into high energy γ-rays.

The cross section for inclusive production of high energy γ-rays with a small transverse momentum, $cp_T = E_T < 1\ GeV$ in pp collisions (e.g., Neuhoffer et al. 1971; Ferbel and Molzon 1984) is well represented by

$$\frac{E}{\sigma_{in}}\frac{d^3\sigma}{d^2p_T dE_\gamma} \approx (1/2\pi E_0^2)e^{-E_T/E_0}\ f_\gamma(x), \tag{3}$$

where E is the incident proton energy, $\sigma_{in} \approx 35\ mb$ is the pp total inelastic cross section at TeV energies, $E_0 \approx 0.16\ GeV$ and $f_\gamma(x) \sim (1-x)^3/\sqrt{x}$ is a function only of the Feynman variable $x = E_\gamma/E$, and not of the separate values of the energies of the incident proton and the produced γ-ray. The exponential dependence on E_T beams the γ-ray production into $\theta < E_T/E \sim 1/6\Gamma$ along the incident proton direction. When integrated over transverse momentum the scaled inclusive cross section becomes $\sigma_{in}^{-1}d\sigma/dx \approx f_\gamma(x)$. If the protons in the jet have a power-law energy spectrum, $dF_p/dE \approx AE^{-\alpha}$, then, because of Feynman scaling, the produced γ-rays have the same power-law spectrum:

$$\frac{dF_\gamma}{dE} \approx N_p\sigma_{in}\int_E^\infty \frac{dF_p}{dE_p}\frac{d\sigma}{dE}dE_p \approx N_p\sigma_{in}I_\gamma AE^{-\alpha}, \tag{4}$$

where N_p is the column density of the target and $I_\gamma = \int_0^1 x^{\alpha-1}f_\gamma(x)dx$. Gas targets with large column densities, $N_p > 10^{23}cm^{-2}$, are present in/near galactic cores and in star burst regions. For instance, such regions seem to be very enriched in variable luminous blue supergiants (e.g., Tamura 1996). Such stars whose luminosity exceeds $\sim 10^5 L_\odot$, also eject mass at a rate of up to $L_m \sim 10^{-4}M_\odot\ yr^{-1}$ with an outflow speed of $v \sim 500\ km\ s^{-1}$. If such a star is located at a distance $R_* \sim 5\times 10^{17}\ cm$ from the explosion and at an angle $\theta_* \leq 1/\Gamma$ relative to the jet, its wind produces an effective gas target inside the beaming cone with an average column density of $N_p \approx L_m/2m_p v R_*\theta_* \sim 10^{23}\ cm^{-2}$, which can convert $\sim 10^{50\pm1}erg$ of the jet energy into high energy γ-rays.

High Energy Neutrinos

Hadronic production of photons in diffuse targets is also accompanied by neutrino emission through $pp \to \pi^\pm \to \mu^\pm\nu_\mu$; $\mu^\pm \to e^\pm\nu_\mu\nu_e$. For a proton power-law spectrum, $dF_p/dE = AE^{-\alpha}$ with a power index of $\alpha \sim 2$, one finds (e.g., Dar and Shaviv 1996) that the produced spectra of γ-rays and ν_μ's satisfy

$$dF_{\nu_\mu}/dE \approx N_p \sigma_{in} I_{\nu_\mu} AE^{-\alpha} \approx 0.7 dF_\gamma/dE. \tag{5}$$

Consequently, we predict that high energy γ-ray emission from GRBs is accompanied by emission of high energy neutrinos with similar fluxes, light curves and energy spectra. At energies above TeV, γ rays from distant GRBs are strongly attenuated by the infrared cosmic background radiation (e.g., Stecker et al. 1993). However, the universe is transparent to TeV neutrinos. Therefore, detection of TeV neutrinos can be used to confirm the hadronic nature of jets and to detect distant GRBs in TeV emission. The number of ν_μ events from a GRB in an underwater/ice ν_μ telescope is $SN_AT \int R_\mu (d\sigma_{\nu\mu}/dE_\mu)(dF_\nu/dE) dE_\mu dE$, where S is the surface area of the telescope, N_A is Avogadro's number, T is the duration of the GRB, σ_{ν_μ} is the inclusive cross section for $\nu_\mu p \to \mu X$, and R_μ is the range (in $gm\ cm^{-2}$) of muons with energy E_μ in water/ice. For a GRB with $F_\gamma \sim 10^{-6}\ erg\ cm^{-2}$ above $E_\gamma = 1\ TeV$ and a power index $\alpha = 2$, we predict 3 neutrino events in a 1 km^2 telescope. If the observed GeV gamma ray emission from GRB 940217 (Hurley et al. 1994) extended into the TeV region it could have produced hundreds of ν_μ events in a 1 km^2 neutrino telescope.

X-Rays, Optical Photons and Radio Waves

The production chain $pp \to \pi^\pm \to \mu^\pm \to e^\pm$ that follows jet-gas collisions enriches the jet with ultrarelativistic electrons. Due to Feynman scaling, their differential spectrum is proportional to the emitted high energy γ-ray spectrum,

$$dF_e/dE \approx N_p \sigma_{in} I_e AE^{-\alpha} \approx 0.4 dF_\gamma/dE. \tag{6}$$

If they encounter a perpendicular magnetic field they cool radiatively by synchrotron emission and inverse Compton scattering and their initial energy decreases according to $\Gamma = \Gamma_0/(1 + t/t_{1/2})$ with $t_{1/2}(\Gamma_0) = 3mc/4\sigma_T\Gamma_0(u_B + u_\gamma)$, where σ_T is the Thomson cross section and $u_B = B_\perp^2/8\pi$ and u_γ are the energy densities of the transverse magnetic field and the radiation field, respectively. The acceleration, the collimation and the little deflection of jet particles by interstellar magnetic fields, as well as the emission of polarized radiations by relativistic jets, indicate that strong magnetic fields are present within jets. Similarly, strong radiation fields may also be be present within jets due to the beamed emission of synchrotron radiation (inverse Compton scattering from synchrotron photons of energy ϵ can upscatter them to energy $E_\gamma \sim (4/3)\Gamma_e^2\epsilon$ in the observer frame), Consequently, for $\alpha \geq 2$, radiative cooling by inverse Compton scattering and synchrotron emission changes the initial power-law energy spectrum of the ultrarelativistic electrons into

$$dF_e/dE \approx N_p\sigma_{in}I_eAE^{-\alpha}(1-t/t_{1/2}(E))^{\alpha-2}. \tag{7}$$

Thus, for $\alpha > 2$ the electron spectrum cuts off at $\Gamma_e \approx 3m_ec^2/4(u_B+u_\gamma)\sigma_Tct$ and the photon emission cuts off at a corresponding frequency. For $t \ll t_{1/2}$ the electron spectrum maintains its original spectral form $\sim E^{-\alpha}$. Synchrotron emission and inverse Compton scattering from electrons with such a power-law spectrum produce a power-law spectrum:

$$dF_\gamma/dE \sim E^{-(\alpha+1)/2}. \tag{8}$$

The radiative cooling time of jets must be very long (jets from microquasars reach hundreds light years, while AGN jets reach hundred thousands light years before disruption). However, the magnetic (and the radiation) energy density within the ejected jets that expand ballisticly decreases like $u_B = B^2/8\pi \sim R^{-3} \sim t^{-3}$ where R is the distance from the ejection point and t is the time in the observer frame. Maximum emission of synchrotron radiation by electrons with energy E_e occurs at photon energy $E_\gamma \sim B_\perp E_e^2$. Photons with energy E_γ at time t are produced mainly by electrons with energy $E_e \sim (E_\gamma/B_\perp)^{1/2} \sim E_\gamma t^{3/2}$. Because the cooling time of jet particles is relatively long, the electron spectrum is proportional to $E_e^{-\alpha}$ and consequently the decay of the afterglow is independent of frequency and is given approximately by

$$d^2F_\gamma/dEdt \sim E^{-(\alpha+1)/2}t^{-3(\alpha-1)/4}. \tag{9}$$

Eq. (9) also follows from the fireball model of Wijers et al. 1997. It is valid until inverse Compton scattering of the microwave background photons, which produces the same power-law spectrum but decays very slowly with time, takes over. The model also predicts a time lag t_d in the appearance of the afterglow in different energy bands, which is inversely proportional to photon energy. This time lag is due to the difference in times of flight of electrons with different energies along the jet, as seen by the observer, which is proportional to $1/\Gamma_e^2$, i.e., $t_d \sim 1/E_\gamma$. The measured afterglow in X-rays and optical photons of GRB 970228 at different observations times during the first 38 days are very well described by eq.(9) with $\alpha \approx 2.6$, i.e., by $Ed^2F_\gamma/dEdt \sim E^{-0.8}t^{-1.2}$ (see, e.g., Wijers et al. 1997).

Conclusions

If GRBs are produced by merger/AIC jets in star burst regions or galactic cores of distant galaxies, they are also accompanied by delayed emission (afterglow) of high energy photons, TeV neutrinos, X-rays, optical photons and radio waves. Their predicted spectral and temporal behavior are correlated and follow simple power-laws, as described by eq.(4) for high energy gamma rays, by eq.(5) for TeV neutrinos, and by eq.(9) for X-rays, optical photons and radio waves, respectively. The predictions are in excellent agreement with the observed afterglow of GRB 970228 in X-rays and optical photons.

References

Blandford, R.D. & Levinson, A. 1995, ApJ, 441, 79
Blinnikov, S.I. et al. 1984, Sov. Ast. Let. 10, 177
Briggs, M.S. Ap. & Sp. Sc. 1995, 231, 3
Cavallo, G. and Rees, M.J. 1978, MNRAS, 183, 359
Costa, E. et al. 1997a, IAU Circ. 6572
Costa, E. et al. 1997b, IAU Circ. 6576
Dar, A., 1997, in "Very High Energy Phenomena In The Universe", Proc. XXXII Rencontre De Moriond, Les Arcs, France, January 19-24, 1997.
Dar, A. & and Laor, A., 1997, ApJ, 478, L5
Dar, A. & Shaviv, N. 1996, Astropar. Phys.. 4, 343
Dermer, C.D. & Sclickeiser, R. 1993, ApJ, 415, 418
Dermer, C.D. & Sclickeiser, R. 1994, ApJS, 90, 945
Eichler, D. et al. 1989, Nature, 340, 126
Ferbel, T. & Molzon, W.R. 1984, Rev. Mod. Phys. 56, 181
Fishman, G.J. and Meegan, C.A.A. 1995, Ann. Rev. Ast. Ap. 33, 415
Gaidos, J.A. et al. 1996, Nature, 383, 319
Goodman, J. 1986, ApJ, 308, L47
Goodman, J., Dar, A. and Nussinov, S. 1987, ApJ, 314, L7

Groot, P.J. et al. 1997, IAU Circ. 6584
Hurley, K., et al. 1994, Nature, 372, 652
Hurley, K., et al. 1997, IAU Circ. 6578
Kerrick, A.D. et al. 1995, ApJ, 438, L59
Meszaros, P. and Rees, M.J. 1992, MNRAS, 257, 29
Metzger, M.R., et al. 1997, IAU Circ. 6631
Neuhoffer, G., et al. 1971, Phys. Let. 37B, 438
Paczynski, B. 1986, ApJ, 308, L43
Palmer, D., et al. 1997, IAU Circ. 6577
Prilutski, O.F. and Usov, V.V. 1975, Ap&SS, 34, 395
Shau, K., et al. 1997a, IAU Circ. 6606
Shau, K., et al. 1997b, IAU Circ. 6619
Shaviv, N.J. 1996, Ph.D Thesis (Technion Report Ph-96-16).
Shaviv, N.J. and Dar, A. 1995, ApJ, 447, 863
Shaviv, N.J. and Dar, A. 1995, MNRAS, 277, 287
Shaviv, N.J. and Dar, A. 1996, Submitted for publication in PRL; See also
Proc. of VI Rencontre De Blois, Blois, France June 5-11, 1996, in press.
Stecker, F.W., et al. 1993, ApJ, 415, L71
Tamura, M. et al. 1996, ApJ, 467, 645
Thompson, D.J., et al. 1995, ApJS, 101, 259
Usov, V.V. and Chibisov, G.B. 1975, Sov. Ast. 19, 115
van den Bergh, S. 1983, Ast. & Ap. Suppl. 97, 385
Wijers, R.A.M.J., et al. 1997, submitted to MNRAS (astro-ph 9704153)
Yoshida, A., et al. 1997 IAU Circ. 6593